

On the simplest quartic fields and related Thue equations

AKINARI HOSHI

Department of Mathematics, Rikkyo University
3-34-1 Nishi-Ikebukuro Toshima-ku Tokyo, 171-8501, Japan
hoshi@rikkyo.ac.jp

Abstract

Let K be a field of char $K \neq 2$. For $a \in K$, we give an explicit answer to the field isomorphism problem of the simplest quartic polynomial $X^4 - aX^3 - 6X^2 + aX + 1$ over K as the special case of the field intersection problem via multi-resolvent polynomials. From this result, over an infinite field K , we see that the polynomial gives the same splitting field over K for infinitely many values a of K . We also see by Siegel's theorem for curves of genus zero that only finitely many algebraic integers $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ in a number field K may give the same splitting field. By applying the result over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers, we establish a correspondence between primitive solutions to the parametric family of quartic Thue equations

$$X^4 - mX^3Y - 6X^2Y^2 + mXY^3 + Y^4 = c,$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a rational integer and c is a divisor of $4(m^2 + 16)$, and isomorphism classes of the simplest quartic fields.

1 Introduction and main results

Let K be a field of char $K \neq 2$ and $K(s)$ the rational function field over K with variable s . We take the simplest quartic polynomial

$$f_s(X) := X^4 - sX^3 - 6X^2 + sX + 1 \in K(s)[X]$$

with discriminant $4(s^2 + 16)^3$. The Galois group $\text{Gal}_{K(s)} f_s(X)$ of the polynomial $f_s(X)$ over $K(s)$ is isomorphic to the cyclic group C_4 of order four.

In the case where $K = \mathbb{Q}$, for $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 3\}$ the polynomials $f_a(X)$ are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_a(X) \cong C_4$ and the splitting fields $\text{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_a(X)$ of $f_a(X)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ are totally real cyclic quartic number fields which are called the simplest quartic fields (cf. e.g. [Gra77], [Laz91], [LP95], [Gaa02, Section 6.2], [Kim04], [HH05], [Duq07], [Lou07]).

For $b = -a \in K$, the polynomials $f_a(X)$ and $f_b(X)$ have the same splitting field over K . In the present paper, we consider the field isomorphism problem of $f_s(X)$, i.e. for a fixed $a \in K$, determine whether $b \in K$ gives the same splitting field over K as $\text{Spl}_K f_a(X) = \text{Spl}_K f_b(X)$ or not.

For $n \geq 3$, Rikuna [Rik02] constructed one-parameter families of cyclic polynomials of degree n over K with char $K \nmid n$ and $K \ni \zeta + \zeta^{-1}$ where ζ is a primitive n -th root of unity, and $f_s(X)$ may be obtained the quartic case $n = 4$ of Rikuna's cyclic polynomials (see also [Miy99], [HM99]). An answer to the field isomorphism problem to Rikuna's cyclic

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11D25, 11D59, 11R16, 11Y40, 12F10.

Key words and phrases. Field isomorphism problem, simplest quartic fields, quartic Thue equations, multi-resolvent polynomial.

This work was partially supported by Rikkyo University Special Fund for Research.

polynomials was given by Komatsu [Kom04] as a generalization of Kummer theory (cf. also [Oga03], [Kid05]).

In Section 3, by using multi-resolvent polynomials, we will give an explicit form of an answer to the field isomorphism problem of $f_s(X)$ over K as the special case of the field intersection problem (cf. the simplest cubic case [Mor94], [Cha96], [Oga03], [Kom04], [HM09a], [H]). One of the advantages of using multi-resolvent polynomials is the validity for non-abelian groups (see [HM07], [HM09b], [HM09c], [HM]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be a field of char $K \neq 2$ and $f_a(X) = X^4 - aX^3 - 6X^2 + aX + 1 \in K[X]$ for $a \in K$. For $a, b \in K$ with $a \neq \pm b$ and $(a^2 + 16)(b^2 + 16) \neq 0$, the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the splitting fields of $f_a(X)$ and of $f_b(X)$ over K coincide;*
- (ii) *the polynomial $f_A(X)$ splits completely into four linear factors over K for $A = A_1$ or $A = A_2$ where*

$$A_1 = \frac{ab + 16}{-a + b} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \frac{ab - 16}{a + b};$$

- (iii) *there exists $z \in K$ such that*

$$B = a + \frac{(a^2 + 16)z(z + 1)(z - 1)}{f_a(z)}$$

where $B = b$ or $B = -b$.

Moreover if $\text{Gal}_K f_a(X) \cong C_4$ (resp. $\text{Gal}_K f_a(X) \cong C_2$ or $\{1\}$) then (ii) occurs for only one of A_1 and A_2 (resp. for both of A_1 and A_2) and (iii) occurs for only one of b and $-b$ (resp. for both of b and $-b$).

Note that the equivalence of the conditions (i) and (iii) is valid also for $a = \pm b$.

By Theorem 1.1, for a fixed $a \in K$ with $a^2 + 16 \neq 0$, we have $\text{Spl}_K f_b(X) = \text{Spl}_K f_a(X)$ where b is given as in Theorem 1.1 (iii) for arbitrary $z \in K$ with $f_a(z) \neq 0$ and $b^2 + 16 \neq 0$. Hence we have the following:

Corollary 1.2. *Let K be an infinite field of char $K \neq 2$. For a fixed $a \in K$ with $a^2 + 16 \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many $b \in K$ such that $\text{Spl}_K f_b(X) = \text{Spl}_K f_a(X)$.*

The following theorem is well-known as Siegel's theorem for curves of genus zero (cf. [Lan78, Theorem 6.1], [Lan83, Chapter 8, Section 5]).

Theorem (Siegel). *Let K be a number field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers in K . If a rational function $\varphi(s) \in K(s)$ has at least three distinct poles, then there are only finitely many $z \in K$ such that $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{O}_K$.*

In contrast with Corollary 1.2, by applying Siegel's theorem to Theorem 1.1, we get:

Corollary 1.3. *Let K be a number field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers in K . Assume that $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $a^2 + 16 \neq 0$. Then there exist only finitely many integers $b \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\text{Spl}_K f_b(X) = \text{Spl}_K f_a(X)$. In particular, there exist only finitely many integers $b \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $f_{A_i}(X)$, ($i = 1, 2$), has a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$ where A_i is given in Theorem 1.1 (ii).*

We treat the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $a = m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We get an application of Theorem 1.1 to a related family of quartic Thue equations as follows.

Consider the parametric family of quartic Thue equations

$$F_m(X, Y) := X^4 - mX^3Y - 6X^2Y^2 + mXY^3 + Y^4 = c$$

for $m, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $c \neq 0$. Note that $f_m(X) = F_m(X, 1)$. The equation $F_m(X, Y) = c$ has the following solutions

$$F_m(0, \pm e) = F_m(\pm e, 0) = e^4, \quad F_m(\mp e, \pm e) = F_m(\pm e, \pm e) = -4e^4.$$

We call such solutions (x, y) to $F_m(x, y) = c$ with $xy(x+y)(x-y) = 0$ the *trivial* solutions.

For $c \in \{\pm 1, \pm 4\}$, Lettl-Pethö [LP95] and Chen-Voutier [CV97] gave a complete solution to Thue equation $F_m(X, Y) = c$ independently (cf. Section 4).

In [LPV99], Lettl-Pethö-Voutier showed that for $m \geq 58$, the only primitive solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e. $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, to the Thue inequality

$$|F_m(x, y)| \leq 6m + 7$$

with $|x| \leq y$ are trivial solutions $(0, 1)$, $(\pm 1, 1)$ and $(\pm 1, 2)$. Note that $F_m(\pm 1, 2) = \pm 6m - 7$. Wakabayashi [Wak07] investigated Thue inequalities $|F_{l,m}(x, y)| \leq k$ with two parameters l, m and $F_{1,m} = F_m$.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$L_m := \text{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_m(X).$$

We give the following correspondence between integer solutions to $F_m(X, Y) = c$ and isomorphism classes of the simplest quartic fields L_m .

Theorem 1.4. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 3\}$ and $L_m = \text{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_m(X)$. There exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\}$ such that $L_n = L_m$ if and only if there exists non-trivial solution $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e. $xy(x+y)(x-y) \neq 0$, to the quartic Thue equation*

$$(*) \quad F_m(x, y) = c$$

where c is a divisor of $4(m^2 + 16)$. Moreover integers m, n and solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ to $(*)$ can be chosen to satisfy the equation

$$(**) \quad N = m + \frac{(m^2 + 16)xy(x+y)(x-y)}{F_m(x, y)}$$

where either $N = n$ or $N = -n$, and the equation $(**)$ occurs for only one of $N = n$ and $N = -n$.

The assumption $m \neq 0, \pm 3$ ensures that $f_m(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_m(X) \cong C_4$ and the equality $(**)$ holds for only one of $N = n$ and $N = -n$. This phenomenon comes from the group theoretical reason (see Section 2). Indeed, in the case of $m = \pm 3$, $f_{\pm 3}(X) = (X^2 \pm X - 1)(X^2 \mp 4X - 1)$ and the equation $(**)$ occurs for both of $N = 3$ and $N = -3$. Thus non-trivial solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ to $(*)$ which satisfy $(**)$ for $N = -m$ exist (cf. Theorem 1.1). Hence the assumption $m \neq 0, \pm 3$ also ensures that a non-trivial solution (x, y) to $(*)$ corresponds $N \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\}$ via $(**)$.

If there exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\}$ such that $L_n = L_m$, then we may choose a primitive solution $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ to $(*)$ with $(x, y) \equiv (1, 0) \pmod{2}$. Then four solutions $\pm(x, y)$, $\pm(y, -x)$ to $(*)$ for $c = d$ and four solutions $\pm(x', y')$, $\pm(y', -x')$ to $(*)$ for $c = -4d$ are primitive, where $(x', y') = (x - y, x + y)$ and d is an odd divisor of $m^2 + 16$, and only these eight primitive solutions satisfy $(**)$ for the same N as in Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 1.5. For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 3\}$, let $\mathcal{N}$ be the number of primitive solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $xy(x+y)(x-y) \neq 0$ to $F_m(x, y) = c$ where c is a divisor of $4(m^2 + 16)$. Then we have

$$\#\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\} \mid L_n = L_m, n > 0\right\} = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{8}$$

where $L_m = \text{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_m(X)$. In particular, if there does not exist $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\}$ with $L_n = L_m$ then $F_m(x, y) = c$ where c is a divisor of $4(m^2 + 16)$ has only trivial solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $xy(x+y)(x-y) = 0$.

However we do not know non-trivial solutions to $(*)$ with $m \geq 0$ except for $m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 22, 103, 956$. By Theorem 1.1, we can check that $L_1 = L_{103}$, $L_2 = L_{22}$, $L_4 = L_{956}$. Some numerical examples will be given in Sections 6, 7 and 8.

2 Preliminaries

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we recall known results of the resolvent polynomials which are fundamental tools in the computational aspects of Galois theory (cf. [Coh93], [Coh00], [Ade01]). We intend to explain how to get an answer to the field intersection problem of $f_s(X) = X^4 - sX^3 - 6X^2 + sX + 1$, i.e. for $a, b \in K$ how to determine the intersection of $\text{Spl}_K f_a(X)$ and $\text{Spl}_K f_b(X)$. An answer to the field isomorphism problem (Theorem 1.1) may be obtained as the special case of the field intersection problem.

Let $\bar{K}$ be a fixed algebraic closure of a field K . Let $f(X) := \prod_{i=1}^m (X - \alpha_i) \in K[X]$ be a separable polynomial of degree m with some fixed order of the roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \bar{K}$. By resolvent polynomials with suitable invariants, we may determine the Galois group of the polynomial $f(X)$ over K as follows.

Let $R := K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ be the polynomial ring over K with m variables $x_1, \dots, x_m$. For $\Theta \in R$, we take a surjective homomorphism $\omega_f : R \rightarrow k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, $\Theta(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto \Theta(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, which is called the specialization map. The kernel of ω_f is the ideal $I_f := \{\Theta \in R \mid \Theta(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = 0\}$ in R .

Let S_m be the symmetric group of degree m . We extend the action of S_m on m letters $\{1, \dots, m\}$ to that on R by $\pi(\Theta(x_1, \dots, x_m)) := \Theta(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(m)})$. We define the Galois group of $f(X)$ over K by $\text{Gal}(f/K) := \{\pi \in S_m \mid \pi(I_f) \subseteq I_f\}$. Then the Galois group of the splitting field $\text{Spl}_K f(X)$ of $f(X)$ over K is isomorphic to $\text{Gal}(f/K)$. If we take another ordering of roots $\alpha_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\pi(m)}$ of $f(X)$ for some $\pi \in S_m$, the corresponding realization of $\text{Gal}(f/K)$ is conjugate in S_m . Hence, for arbitrary ordering of the roots of $f(X)$, $\text{Gal}(f/K)$ is determined up to conjugacy in S_m .

For $H \leq U \leq S_m$, an element $\Theta \in R$ is called a U -primitive H -invariant if $H = \text{Stab}_U(\Theta) := \{\pi \in U \mid \pi(\Theta) = \Theta\}$. For a U -primitive H -invariant Θ , the polynomial

$$\mathcal{RP}_{\Theta, U}(X) = \prod_{\bar{\pi} \in U/H} (X - \pi(\Theta)) \in R^U[X]$$

where $\bar{\pi}$ runs through the left cosets of H in U , is called the *formal* U -relative H -invariant resolvent by Θ . The polynomial

$$\mathcal{RP}_{\Theta, U, f}(X) := \omega_f(\mathcal{RP}_{\Theta, U}(X))$$

is called the U -relative H -invariant resolvent of f by Θ . The following theorem is fundamental in the theory of resolvent polynomials (see e.g. [Ade01, p.95]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $G = \text{Gal}(f/K)$, $H \leq U \leq S_m$ be finite groups with $G \leq U$ and Θ a U -primitive H -invariant. Suppose that $\mathcal{RP}_{\Theta, U, f}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^l h_i^{e_i}(X)$ gives the decomposition of $\mathcal{RP}_{\Theta, U, f}(X)$ into a product of powers of distinct irreducible polynomials $h_i(X)$, ($i = 1, \dots, l$), in $K[X]$. Then we have a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} G \backslash U / H &\longrightarrow \{h_1^{e_1}(X), \dots, h_l^{e_l}(X)\} \\ G \pi H &\longmapsto h_\pi(X) = \prod_{\tau H \subseteq G \pi H} (X - \omega_f(\tau(\Theta))) \end{aligned}$$

where the product runs through the left cosets τH of H in U contained in $G \pi H$, that is, through $\tau = \pi_\sigma \pi$ where π_σ runs a system of representative of the left cosets of $G \cap \pi H \pi^{-1}$; each $h_\pi(X)$ is irreducible or a power of an irreducible polynomial with $\deg(h_\pi(X)) = |G \pi H|/|H| = |G|/|G \cap \pi H \pi^{-1}|$.

Corollary 2.2. *If $G \leq \pi H \pi^{-1}$ for some $\pi \in U$ then $\mathcal{RP}_{\Theta, U, f}(X)$ has a linear factor over K . Conversely, if $\mathcal{RP}_{\Theta, U, f}(X)$ has a non-repeated linear factor over K then there exists $\pi \in U$ such that $G \leq \pi H \pi^{-1}$.*

Note that when $\mathcal{RP}_{\Theta, U, f}(X)$ is not squarefree, there exists a suitable Tschirnhausen transformation $\hat{f}$ of f over K such that $\mathcal{RP}_{\Theta, U, \hat{f}}(X)$ is squarefree (cf. [Gir83], [Coh93, Alg. 6.3.4]).

We apply Theorem 2.1 to the cyclic quartic case. Let $f^1(X) \in K[X]$ and $f^2(X) \in K[X]$ be separable quartic polynomials over K respectively.

We put

$$f(X) := f^1(X)f^2(X)$$

and

$$G_1 := \text{Gal}(f^1/K), \quad G_2 := \text{Gal}(f^2/K), \quad G := \text{Gal}(f/K).$$

We assume that $G_1, G_2 \leq C_4$ and apply Theorem 2.1 to $m = 8$, $f(X) = f^1(X)f^2(X)$, $U = \langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle$, $H = \langle \sigma\tau \rangle$ or $\langle \sigma\tau^3 \rangle$ where $\sigma, \tau \in S_8$ act on $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_8]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto x_3 \mapsto x_4 \mapsto x_1, \\ \tau &: x_5 \mapsto x_6 \mapsto x_7 \mapsto x_8 \mapsto x_5. \end{aligned}$$

We put $U := \langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle$. Let Θ_1 (resp. Θ_2) be a U -primitive $\langle \sigma\tau \rangle$ -invariant (resp. $\langle \sigma\tau^3 \rangle$ -invariant). Then we have the U -relative $\langle \sigma\tau \rangle$ -invariant (resp. $\langle \sigma\tau^3 \rangle$ -invariant) resolvent polynomial of $f(X) = f^1(X)f^2(X)$ by Θ_1 (resp. Θ_2) as

$$\mathcal{R}_f^i(X) := \mathcal{RP}_{\Theta_i, U, f}(X), \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

This kind of resolvent polynomial is also called (absolute) *multi-resolvent polynomial* (cf. [RV99], [Ren04]).

For a squarefree polynomial $\mathcal{R}(X) \in K[X]$ of degree l , we define the *decomposition type* $\text{DT}(\mathcal{R})$ of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ by the partition of l induced by the degrees of the irreducible factors of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ over K . By Theorem 2.1, we get the intersection field $\text{Spl}_K f^1(X) \cap \text{Spl}_K f^2(X)$ via the decomposition types $\text{DT}(\mathcal{R}_f^1)$ and $\text{DT}(\mathcal{R}_f^2)$.

Theorem 2.3. For $f(X) = f^1(X)f^2(X) \in K[X]$ with $G_1, G_2 \leq C_4$, we assume that $\#G_1 \geq \#G_2$ and both $\mathcal{R}_f^1(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}_f^2(X)$ are squarefree. Then the Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(f/K)$ and the intersection field $\text{Spl}_K f^1(X) \cap \text{Spl}_K f^2(X)$ are given by the decomposition types $\text{DT}(\mathcal{R}_f^1)$ and $\text{DT}(\mathcal{R}_f^2)$ as on Table 1.

Table 1

G_1	G_2	G		$\text{DT}(\mathcal{R}_f^1)$	$\text{DT}(\mathcal{R}_f^2)$
C_4	C_4	$C_4 \times C_4$	$L_1 \cap L_2 = K$	4	4
		$C_4 \times C_2$	$[L_1 \cap L_2 : K] = 2$	2, 2	2, 2
		C_4	$L_1 = L_2$	2, 2	1, 1, 1, 1
				1, 1, 1, 1	2, 2
	C_2	$C_4 \times C_2$	$L_1 \cap L_2 = K$	4	4
		C_4	$L_1 \supset L_2$	4	4
	$\{1\}$	C_4	$L_1 \supset L_2 = K$	4	4
C_2	C_2	$C_2 \times C_2$	$L_1 \cap L_2 = K$	2, 2	2, 2
		C_2	$L_1 = L_2$	1, 1, 1, 1	1, 1, 1, 1
	$\{1\}$	C_2	$L_1 \supset L_2$	2, 2	2, 2
$\{1\}$	$\{1\}$	$\{1\}$	$L_1 = L_2 = K$	1, 1, 1, 1	1, 1, 1, 1

We checked the decomposition types $\text{DT}(\mathcal{R}_f^i)$, $(i = 1, 2)$, on Table 1 by GAP [GAP]. Now we get an answer to the field isomorphism problem of

$$f_s(X) = X^4 - sX^3 - 6X^2 + sX + 1$$

via multi-resolvent polynomials $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^i(X) := \mathcal{RP}_{\Theta_i, \langle \sigma \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle, f_{a,b}}$, $(i = 1, 2)$, where

$$f_{a,b}(X) := f_a(X)f_b(X)$$

as the special case of Theorem 2.3. Note that $\text{disc}(f_s(X)) = 4(s^2 + 16)^3$.

Theorem 2.4. For $a, b \in K$ with $(a^2 + 16)(b^2 + 16) \neq 0$, we assume that both $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^1(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^2(X)$ are squarefree. Then two splitting fields of $f_a(X)$ and of $f_b(X)$ over K coincide if and only if $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^1(X)$ or $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^2(X)$ splits completely into four linear factors over K .

This is an analogue of the classical result by Kummer theory. Namely for a field K which contains a primitive fourth root of unity and $a, b \in K$, the splitting fields of $X^4 - a$ and of $X^4 - b$ over K coincide if and only if $X^4 - ab$ or $X^4 - ab^3$ has a linear factor (equivalent to split completely) over K . It is remarkable that Theorem 2.4 does not need the assumption that K contains a primitive fourth root of unity.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We give an explicit answer to the field intersection problem of the simplest quartic polynomials $f_s(X)$ via suitable invariants Θ_1 and Θ_2 . As the special case, we obtain Theorem 1.1.

Let $K(z)$ be the rational function field over K and σ a K -automorphism of $K(z)$ of order four which is defined by

$$\sigma : z \mapsto \frac{z-1}{z+1} \mapsto -\frac{1}{z} \mapsto -\frac{z+1}{z-1} \mapsto z.$$

We consider the fixed field $K(z)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$ and the C_4 -extension $K(z)/K(z)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_s(X) &= \prod_{x \in \text{Orb}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}(z)} \left(X - x \right) = \left(X - z \right) \left(X - \frac{z-1}{z+1} \right) \left(X + \frac{1}{z} \right) \left(X + \frac{z+1}{z-1} \right) \\ &= X^4 - sX^3 - 6X^2 + sX + 1 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$s = \frac{z^4 - 6z^2 + 1}{z(z^2 - 1)} = \frac{(z^2 + 2z - 1)(z^2 - 2z - 1)}{z(z+1)(z-1)}$$

as the generating polynomial of the field extension $K(z)/K(z)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$. It follows that $K(z)^{\langle\sigma\rangle} = K(s)$ and the Galois group of the polynomial $f_s(X)$ over $K(s)$ is isomorphic to C_4 .

We also take another rational function field $K(w)$ over K with indeterminate w , $\tau \in \text{Aut}_K K(w)$ with

$$\tau : w \mapsto \frac{w-1}{w+1} \mapsto -\frac{1}{w} \mapsto -\frac{w+1}{w-1} \mapsto w$$

and $f_t(X) = X^4 - tX^3 - 6X^2 + tX + 1$ where

$$t = \frac{w^4 - 6w^2 + 1}{w(w^2 - 1)} = \frac{(w^2 + 2w - 1)(w^2 - 2w - 1)}{w(w+1)(w-1)}$$

by the same manner of $K(z)$, σ and $f_s(X)$.

Put $U := \langle\sigma\rangle \times \langle\tau\rangle$. Then the field $K(z, w)$ is $(C_4 \times C_4)$ -extension of $K(z, w)^U = K(s, t)$.

In order to apply Theorem 2.4, we should find suitable U -primitive $\langle\sigma\tau\rangle$ -invariant Θ_1 and U -primitive $\langle\sigma\tau^3\rangle$ -invariant Θ_2 .

We may find the following two U -primitive $\langle\sigma\tau\rangle$ -invariants which are candidates to Θ_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^3 (\sigma\tau)^i(zw) = \frac{(w+z)(wz-1)(zw-w-z-1)(zw+w+z-1)}{zw(z^2-1)(w^2-1)}, \quad \text{or} \\ \Theta_1 &= \prod_{i=0}^3 (\sigma\tau)^i(z+w) \\ &= \frac{(z^2w^2 - zw^2 - z^2w + 2zw + w + z + 1)(z^2w^2 + zw^2 + z^2w + 2zw - w - z + 1)}{zw(z^2-1)(w^2-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

However the multi-resolvent polynomial $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^1(X) = \mathcal{RP}_{\Theta_1, U, f_{a,b}}(X)$ where $f_{a,b}(X) = f_a(X)f_b(X)$ becomes complicated in the both cases above.

Example 3.1. We present two explicit examples of the multi-resolvent polynomials $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^i(X) := \mathcal{RP}_{\Theta_i, \langle\sigma\rangle \times \langle\tau\rangle, f_{a,b}}(X)$ for $i = 1, 2$ where $f_{a,b}(X) := f_a(X)f_b(X)$.

(i) In [HM09b], we gave an answer to the field isomorphism problem of $f_s(X)$ by taking

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{2(z^2 + 1)(w^2 + 1)(zw + 1)(z - w)}{zw(z^2 - 1)(w^2 - 1)}, \quad \Theta_2 = \frac{2(z^2 + 1)(w^2 + 1)(zw - 1)(z + w)}{zw(z^2 - 1)(w^2 - 1)}.$$

Then the corresponding multi-resolvent polynomials are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^1(X) &= X^4 - (a^2 + 16)(b^2 + 16)(X^2 - 4(a - b)^2), \\ \mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^2(X) &= X^4 - (a^2 + 16)(b^2 + 16)(X^2 - 4(a + b)^2). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If we take another U -primitive $\langle\sigma\tau\rangle$ -invariant Θ_1 and $\langle\sigma\tau^3\rangle$ -invariant Θ_2 as

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{2(zw + 1)(z - w)}{(z^2 + 1)(w^2 + 1)}, \quad \Theta_2 = \frac{2(zw - 1)(z + w)}{(z^2 + 1)(w^2 + 1)}$$

then we get

$$\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^1(X) = X^4 - X^2 + \frac{4(a - b)^2}{(a^2 + 16)(b^2 + 16)}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^2(X) = X^4 - X^2 + \frac{4(a + b)^2}{(a^2 + 16)(b^2 + 16)}.$$

The multi-resolvent polynomials in Example 3.1 are useful since they are biquadratic, i.e. quadratic polynomial with respect to X^2 . However we do not understand for a fixed $a \in K$ whether there exist infinitely many $b \in K$ such that $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^i(X)$, ($i = 1, 2$), splits completely over K or not. By Theorem 2.4, this question means that for a fixed $a \in K$ whether there exist infinitely many $b \in K$ such that $\text{Spl}_K f_a(X) = \text{Spl}_K f_b(X)$.

It follows from [AHK98, Theorem 1.4] that there exist $\langle\sigma\tau\rangle$ -invariant Θ_1 and $\langle\sigma\tau^3\rangle$ -invariant Θ_2 such that $K(z, w) = K(z, \Theta_1) = K(z, \Theta_2)$. The following gives such invariants Θ_1 and Θ_2 which is the key lemma of this paper.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $U = \langle\sigma\rangle \times \langle\tau\rangle$. We take*

$$\Theta_1 := \frac{zw + 1}{-z + w} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_2 := \frac{zw - 1}{z + w}.$$

Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) *the element Θ_1 is a U -primitive $\langle\sigma\tau\rangle$ -invariant;*
- (ii) *the element Θ_2 is a U -primitive $\langle\sigma\tau^3\rangle$ -invariant;*
- (iii) *the U -orbit of Θ_i is given by the same as $\langle\sigma\rangle$ -orbit of z ;*

$$\text{Orb}_U(\Theta_i) = \left\{ \Theta_i, \frac{\Theta_i - 1}{\Theta_i + 1}, -\frac{1}{\Theta_i}, -\frac{\Theta_i + 1}{\Theta_i - 1} \right\}, \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Proof. We can check the assertions by direct computations. □

The multi-resolvent polynomials $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^i(X) := \mathcal{RP}_{\Theta_i, \langle\sigma\rangle \times \langle\tau\rangle, f_a f_b}(X)$, ($i = 1, 2$), with respect to

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{zw + 1}{-z + w} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_2 = \frac{zw - 1}{z + w}$$

as in Lemma 3.2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^1(X) &= f_{A_1}(X) = X^4 - \frac{ab + 16}{-a + b}X^3 - 6X^2 + \frac{ab + 16}{-a + b}X + 1, \\ \mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^2(X) &= f_{A_2}(X) = X^4 - \frac{ab - 16}{a + b}X^3 - 6X^2 + \frac{ab - 16}{a + b}X + 1 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{ab+16}{-a+b} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \frac{ab-16}{a+b}.$$

Note that

$$\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^1(X)) = \frac{4(a^2+16)^3(b^2+16)^3}{(a-b)^6}, \quad \text{disc}(\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^2(X)) = \frac{4(a^2+16)^3(b^2+16)^3}{(a+b)^6}.$$

By Theorem 2.3, we get the intersection field $\text{Spl}_K f_a(X) \cap \text{Spl}_K f_b(X)$ via Table 1.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^i(X)$, ($i = 1, 2$), be as in (1). For $a, b \in K$ with $a \neq \pm b$ and $(a^2+16)(b^2+16) \neq 0$, we assume that $\#\text{Gal}_K f_a(X) \geq \#\text{Gal}_K f_b(X)$. Then the intersection field $\text{Spl}_K f_a(X) \cap \text{Spl}_K f_b(X)$ is given by the decomposition types $\text{DT}(\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^1)$ and $\text{DT}(\mathcal{R}_{f_{a,b}}^2)$ as on Table 1 in Theorem 2.3.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As the special case of Theorem 3.3, we see the conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent (cf. also Theorem 2.4).

The condition (iii) is just a restatement of (ii). Indeed, we may check that $z \in K$ is a root of $f_{A_1}(X)$ (resp. $f_{A_2}(X)$) if and only if z satisfies the condition (iii) for $B = b$ (resp. $B = -b$). Note that if z is a root of $f_{A_i}(X)$ then $\frac{z-1}{z+1}$, $-\frac{1}{z}$, $-\frac{z+1}{z-1}$ are also roots of $f_{A_i}(X)$ for $i = 1, 2$. By Table 1 as in Theorem 2.3, if $\text{Spl}_K f_a(X) \cong C_4$ (resp. C_2 or $\{1\}$) and $f_{A_i}(z)$ splits completely then $f_{A_j}(X)$ is irreducible (resp. splits completely) over K for $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ and $(2, 1)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4 Simplest quartic fields and related Thue equations

In this section, we treat the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $a = m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for

$$f_a(X) = f_m(X) = X^4 - mX^3 - 6X^2 + mX + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

We first see

Lemma 4.1. (i) *For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 3\}$, $f_m(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$;*
(ii) $f_{\pm 3}(X) = (X^2 \pm X - 1)(X^2 \mp 4X - 1)$, $f_0(X) = (X^2 + 2X - 1)(X^2 - 2X - 1)$.

Proof. It follows from $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}(s)} f_s(X) \cong C_4$ that $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_m(X) \cong C_4$, C_2 or $\{1\}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus if $f_m(X)$ is reducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ then there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_m(X) = (X^2 + aX + c)(X^2 + bX + c)$ with $c = \pm 1$. By comparing the coefficients, we see $c = -1$, because if $c = 1$ then $(m, a, b) = (0, \pm 2\sqrt{2}, \mp 2\sqrt{2})$. Also by comparing the coefficients, if $c = -1$ then $(m, a) = (-b + 4/b, -4/b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Hence $b \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4\}$. In each case, we have $(m, a, b) = (3, -4, 1), (-3, 4, -1), (0, -2, 2), (0, 2, -2), (-3, -1, 4), (3, 1, -4)$. $\square$

For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 3\}$, the splitting fields $L_m := \text{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_m(X)$ of $f_m(X)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ are totally real cyclic quartic number fields and called the simplest quartic fields.

We consider the related parametric family of quartic Thue equations

$$F_m(X, Y) := X^4 - mX^3Y - 6X^2Y^2 + mXY^3 + Y^4 = c$$

for $m, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $c \neq 0$. Note that $f_m(X) = F_m(X, 1)$.

We may assume that $m \geq 0$ because if $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a solution to $F_m(x, y) = c$ then (y, x) becomes a solution to $F_{-m}(y, x) = c$. The equation $F_m(x, y) = c$ has the following solutions

$$F_m(0, \pm e) = F_m(\pm e, 0) = e^4, \quad F_m(\mp e, \pm e) = F_m(\pm e, \pm e) = -4e^4.$$

We call such solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ to $F_m(x, y) = c$ with $xy(x+y)(x-y) = 0$ the *trivial* solutions.

If $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a solution to $F_m(x, y) = c$ then four pairs $\pm(x, y)$, $\pm(y, -x)$ are also solutions because $F_m(X, Y)$ is invariant under the action $X \mapsto Y \mapsto -X$ of order four.

For $c \in \{\pm 1, \pm 4\}$, Lettl-Pethö [LP95] and Chen-Voutier [CV97] gave a complete solution to Thue equation $F_m(X, Y) = c$ independently. For $c \in \{\pm 1, \pm 4\}$ and $m \geq 0$, all solutions to $F_m(X, Y) = c$ are given by eight trivial solutions

$$F_m(0, \pm 1) = F_m(\pm 1, 0) = 1, \quad F_m(\mp 1, \pm 1) = F_m(\pm 1, \pm 1) = -4$$

for arbitrary $m \geq 0$ and additionally

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(\mp 2, \pm 1) &= F_1(\pm 1, \pm 2) = -1, & F_1(\pm 3, \pm 1) &= F_1(\mp 1, \pm 3) = 4, \\ F_4(\mp 3, \pm 2) &= F_4(\pm 2, \pm 3) = 1, & F_4(\pm 5, \pm 1) &= F_4(\mp 1, \pm 5) = -4. \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$(x', y') := (x - y, x + y).$$

Then if $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a solution to $F_m(x, y) = c$ then $(x', y') \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a solution to $F_m(x', y') = -4c$. Conversely if (x', y') is a solution to $F_m(x', y') = -4c$ then $x' \equiv y' \pmod{2}$ and $(x, y) = (\frac{x'+y'}{2}, \frac{-x'+y'}{2}) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a solution to $F_m(x, y) = c$.

In [LPV99], Lettl-Pethö-Voutier showed that for $m \geq 58$, the only primitive solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e. $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, to the Thue inequality

$$|F_m(x, y)| \leq 6m + 7$$

are trivial solutions $\pm(0, 1)$, $\pm(1, 0)$, $\pm(1, 1)$, $\pm(-1, 1)$ and non-trivial solutions $\pm(2, 1)$, $\pm(-1, 2)$, $\pm(-2, 1)$, $\pm(1, 2)$. We note that $F_m(\pm 2, 1) = F_m(\mp 1, 2) = \mp 6m - 7$.

If $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a primitive solution to $(*)$ then four pairs $\pm(x, y)$, $\pm(y, -x)$ are primitive solutions to $(*)$. We also see the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *Put $(x', y') := (x - y, x + y)$. If $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $(x, y) \equiv (0, 1)$ or $(1, 0) \pmod{2}$ is a primitive solution to $F_m(x, y) = c$ then c is an odd integer and $(x', y') \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ is a primitive solution to $F_m(x', y') = -4c$. Conversely if $(x', y') \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $(x', y') \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ is a primitive solution to $F_m(x', y') = d$ then $d = -4c$ for an odd integer c and $(x, y) = (\frac{x'+y'}{2}, \frac{-x'+y'}{2}) \equiv (0, 1)$ or $(1, 0) \pmod{2}$ is a primitive solution to $F_m(x, y) = c$.*

Proof. Assume that $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $(x, y) \equiv (0, 1)$ or $(1, 0) \pmod{2}$ is a primitive solution to $F_m(x, y) = c$. Then c is odd because $F_m(0, 1) = F_m(1, 0) = 1$. It follows by the definition that $(x', y') \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ and $F_m(x', y') = -4c$. If $\gcd(x', y') = k' > 1$ then k' is odd and k' divides both $x = \frac{x'+y'}{2}$ and $y = \frac{-x'+y'}{2}$. This contradicts to $\gcd(x, y) = 1$. Hence we have $\gcd(x', y') = 1$.

Conversely we assume that $(x', y') \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $(x', y') \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ is a primitive solution to $F_m(x', y') = d$. Then $F_m(1, 1) = -4 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. Thus

$d = -4c$ for an odd integer $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also see $F_m(x, y) = c$. If $\gcd(x, y) = k > 1$ then k divides both $x' = x - y$ and $y' = x + y$. This contradicts to $\gcd(x', y') = 1$. Therefore we have $\gcd(x, y) = 1$. Because if $(x, y) \equiv (1, 1) \pmod{2}$ then $F_m(x, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we also obtain $(x, y) \equiv (0, 1)$ or $(1, 0) \pmod{2}$. $\square$

5 Proof of Theorem 1.4: the correspondence

The aim of this section is to establish the correspondence between isomorphism classes of the simplest quartic fields L_m and non-trivial solutions to quartic Thue equations $(*)$ as follows:

Theorem (Theorem 1.4). *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 3\}$ and $L_m = \text{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_m(X)$. Then there exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\}$ such that $L_m = L_n$ if and only if there exists non-trivial solution $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e. $xy(x + y)(x - y) \neq 0$, to the quartic Thue equation*

$$(*) \quad F_m(x, y) = x^4 - mx^3y - 6x^2y^2 + mxy^3 + y^4 = c$$

where c is a divisor of $4(m^2 + 16)$. Moreover integers m, n and solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ to $(*)$ can be chosen to satisfy the equation

$$(**) \quad N = m + \frac{(m^2 + 16)xy(x + y)(x - y)}{F_m(x, y)}$$

where either $N = n$ or $N = -n$, and the equation $(**)$ occurs for only one of $N = n$ and $N = -n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We use Theorem 1.1 in the case where $K = \mathbb{Q}$.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 3\}$, we assume that there exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\}$ such that $L_m = L_n$. By Theorem 1.1, there exists $z \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$N = m + \frac{(m^2 + 16)z(z + 1)(z - 1)}{f_m(z)}$$

where either $N = n$ or $N = -n$. Write $z = x/y$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ then we have

$$N = m + \frac{(m^2 + 16)xy(x + y)(x - y)}{F_m(x, y)} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By the assumption $n \neq \pm m$, we have $xy(x + y)(x - y) \neq 0$.

We will show that $c := F_m(x, y)$ divides $4(m^2 + 16)$. We make use of a resultant and the Sylvester matrix (cf. [PV00], [SWP08, Section 1.3], see also [Lan78, Theorem 6.1], [Lan83, Chapter 8, Section 5]).

Put $h(z) := (m^2 + 16)z(z + 1)(z - 1)$ and $f(z) := F_m(z, 1)$. We take the resultant

$$R_m := \text{Res}_z(h(z), f(z)) = 16(m^2 + 16)^4$$

of $h(z)$ and $f(z)$ with respect to z . We see that R_m is also given by

$$R_m = \begin{vmatrix} m^2 + 16 & 0 & -m^2 - 16 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h(z)z^3 \\ 0 & m^2 + 16 & 0 & -m^2 - 16 & 0 & 0 & h(z)z^2 \\ 0 & 0 & m^2 + 16 & 0 & -m^2 - 16 & 0 & h(z)z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & m^2 + 16 & 0 & -m^2 - 16 & h(z) \\ 1 & -m & -6 & m & 1 & 0 & f(z)z^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -m & -6 & m & 1 & f(z)z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -m & -6 & m & f(z) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 4(m^2 + 16)^3 \left(h(z)p(z) + f(z)q(z) \right)$$

where

$$p(z) = 5z^3 - 5mz^2 - 29z + 4m, \quad q(z) = -(m^2 + 16)(5z^2 - 4).$$

Hence we have

$$h(z)p(z) + f(z)q(z) = 4(m^2 + 16).$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, y) &:= (m^2 + 16)xy(x + y)(x - y), \\ P(x, y) &:= 5x^3 - 5mx^2y - 29xy^2 + 4my^3, \\ Q(x, y) &:= -(m^2 + 16)y(5x^2 - 4y^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from $z = x/y$ that

$$H(x, y)P(x, y) + F_m(x, y)Q(x, y) = 4(m^2 + 16)y^7.$$

Because the cubic forms $F_m(X, Y)$ and $H(X, Y)$ are invariants under the action of $\sigma : X \mapsto Y, Y \mapsto -X$, we also get

$$H(x, y)P(y, -x) + F_m(x, y)Q(y, -x) = 4(m^2 + 16)(-x)^7.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H(x, y)P(x, y)}{F_m(x, y)} + Q(x, y) &= \frac{4(m^2 + 16)y^7}{F_m(x, y)} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{H(x, y)P(y, -x)}{F_m(x, y)} + Q(y, -x) &= -\frac{4(m^2 + 16)x^7}{F_m(x, y)} \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Since x and y are relatively prime, we conclude that $c = F_m(x, y)$ divides $4(m^2 + 16)$.

Conversely if there exists $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $xy(x + y)(x - y) \neq 0$ such that $c = F_m(x, y)$ divides $4(m^2 + 16)$ then we can take $N \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{m\}$ by

$$N = m + \frac{(m^2 + 16)xy(x + y)(x - y)}{F_m(x, y)}.$$

From the assumption $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 3\}$, we have $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_m(X) \cong C_4$. Hence it follows from Theorem 1.1 (i) and (iii) that $N \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{\pm m\}$ and $L_m = L_N$.

We should show that $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $x \not\equiv y \pmod{2}$ then $c = F_m(x, y)$ divides $m^2 + 16$ and hence $N \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\}$, because $F_m(x, y) \equiv F_m(x, y) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

If $x \equiv y \pmod{2}$ then $c = F_m(x, y)$ divides $(m^2 + 16)xy(x + y)(x - y)$ and hence $N \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\}$, because $xy(x + y)(x - y) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. $\square$

6 Primitive solutions

By the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4, a non-trivial solution $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ to $(*)$ may be obtained as $z = x/y$ with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ where $z \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a root of $f_A(X) = X^4 - AX^3 - 6X^2 + AX + 1$ for $A = A_1$ or $A = A_2$ with

$$A_1 = \frac{mn + 16}{-m + n} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \frac{mn - 16}{m + n}$$

as in Theorem 1.1 (ii). Hence we now consider only primitive solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e. $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, to $(*)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 3\}$ and $L_m = \text{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_m(X)$. We assume that there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\}$ such that $L_n = L_m$.*

(i) *We may choose non-trivial primitive solution $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $(x, y) \equiv (0, 1) \pmod{2}$ to $F_m(x, y) = d$ where d is an odd divisor of $m^2 + 16$. Then four pairs $\pm(x, y)$, $\pm(y, -x)$ are primitive solutions to $F_m(X, Y) = d$ and four pairs $\pm(x', y')$, $\pm(y', -x')$ are primitive solutions to $F_m(X, Y) = -4d$ where $(x', y') = (x - y, x + y)$.*

(ii) *All primitive solutions to $(*)$ which satisfy $(**)$ for either $N = n$ or $N = -n$ are given by the eight solutions as in (i), and such solutions exist for only one of $N = n$ and $N = -n$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.4, there exists non-trivial solution $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ to $F_m(x_0, y_0) = c$ for a divisor c of $4(m^2 + 16)$. By Lemma 4.2, we may choose $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $(x, y) \equiv (0, 1) \pmod{2}$ or $\equiv (1, 0) \pmod{2}$ to $F_m(x, y) = d$ where d is an odd divisor of $m^2 + 16$. Again by Lemma 4.2, eight pairs $\pm(x, y)$, $\pm(y, -x)$ for d and $\pm(x - y, x + y)$, $\pm(x + y, -x - y)$ for $-4d$ are all primitive solutions. Hence we may assume that $(x, y) \equiv (0, 1) \pmod{2}$.

These eight solutions correspond to the same N as in Theorem 1.4 because

$$\frac{xy(x + y)(x - y)}{F_m(x, y)}$$

is invariant under the actions of $x \mapsto y \mapsto -x$ and $x \mapsto x - y$, $y \mapsto x + y$.

For $z = x/y$, the equation $(**)$ holds if and only if $f_A(z) = 0$ where

$$A = \frac{mN + 16}{-m + N}$$

(see the proof of Theorem 1.1). We see that if $z = x/y$ is a root of $f_A(X)$ then other three roots of $f_A(X)$ are given by

$$\frac{z - 1}{z + 1} = \frac{x - y}{x + y}, \quad -\frac{1}{z} = \frac{y}{-x}, \quad -\frac{z + 1}{z - 1} = \frac{x + y}{-x + y}.$$

Hence only the primitive solutions to $(*)$ which satisfy $(**)$ for N are the eight solutions above. $\square$

Corollary (Corollary 1.5). *For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 3\}$, let $\mathcal{N}$ be the number of primitive solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $xy(x + y)(x - y) \neq 0$ to $F_m(x, y) = c$ where c is a divisor of $4(m^2 + 16)$. Then we have*

$$\#\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\} \mid L_n = L_m, n > 0\} = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{8}$$

where $L_m = \text{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_m(X)$. In particular, if there does not exist $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{\pm m\}$ with $L_n = L_m$ then $F_m(x, y) = c$ where c is a divisor of $4(m^2 + 16)$ has only trivial solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $xy(x + y)(x - y) = 0$.

By Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$L_1 = L_{103}, \quad L_2 = L_{22}, \quad L_4 = L_{956}.$$

Hence for $m \in \{1, 2, 4, 22, 103, 956\}$, we get non-trivial eight primitive solutions to $(*)$ via Theorem 1.4 as on the following table:

Table 2

m	N	$F_m(x, y) = c$	$m^2 + 16$	$xy(x + y)(x - y)$	(x, y)
1	103	-1	17	-6	$\pm(-2, 1), \pm(1, 2)$
1	103	4	17	24	$\pm(3, 1), \pm(-1, 3)$
2	-22	5	20	-6	$\pm(-2, 1), \pm(1, 2)$
2	-22	-20	20	24	$\pm(3, 1), \pm(-1, 3)$
4	-956	1	32	-30	$\pm(-3, 2), \pm(2, 3)$
4	-956	-4	32	120	$\pm(5, 1), \pm(-1, 5)$
22	-2	$125 = 5^3$	$500 = 2^2 5^3$	-6	$\pm(-2, 1), \pm(1, 2)$
22	-2	$-500 = -2^2 5^3$	$500 = 2^2 5^3$	24	$\pm(3, 1), \pm(-1, 3)$
103	1	$-625 = -5^4$	$10625 = 5^4 17$	6	$\pm(2, 1), \pm(-1, 2)$
103	1	$2500 = 2^2 5^4$	$10625 = 5^4 17$	-24	$\pm(-3, 1), \pm(1, 3)$
956	-4	$28561 = 13^4$	$913952 = 2^5 13^4$	-30	$\pm(-3, 2), \pm(2, 3)$
956	-4	$-114244 = -2^2 13^4$	$913952 = 2^5 13^4$	120	$\pm(5, 1), \pm(-1, 5)$

7 Reducible case

In the reducible case $m \in \{0, \pm 3\}$, $f_m(X)$ splits as $f_0(X) = (X^2 + 2X - 1)(X^2 - 2X - 1)$ and $f_{\pm 3}(X) = (X^2 \pm X - 1)(X^2 \mp 4X - 1)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, and hence $\text{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_0(X) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\text{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_{\pm 3}(X) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

If $m = 0$, the trivial solutions correspond to $N = \pm m = 0$.

If $m = 3$, then the eight trivial solutions $\pm(0, 1), \pm(1, 0)$ for $c = 1$ and $\pm(-1, 1), \pm(1, 1)$ for $c = -4$ give $N = 3$ and non-trivial eight solutions $\pm(2, 1), \pm(-1, 2)$ for $c = -25$ and $\pm(-3, 1), \pm(1, 3)$ for $c = 100$ give $N = -3$.

Table 3

m	N	$F_m(x, y) = c$	$m^2 + 16$	$xy(x + y)(x - y)$	(x, y)
3	-3	-25	25	6	$\pm(2, 1), \pm(-1, 2)$
3	-3	100	25	-24	$\pm(-3, 1), \pm(1, 3)$

8 Computational result

We do not know non-trivial primitive solutions to $(*)$ for $m \geq 0$ except on Table 2 and Table 3. By the correspondence as in Theorem 1.4, in order to find primitive solutions to $(*)$ we should get $L_m = L_n$ for some $m \neq \pm n$. In [HM09b, Example 5.4], however, we checked with the aid of computer that for integers $0 \leq m < n \leq 10^5$, $L_m = L_n$ if and only if $(m, n) \in \{(1, 103), (2, 22), (4, 956)\}$. Using Magma [BCP97], we can get the following:

Theorem 8.1. For $0 \leq m \leq 1000$, all non-trivial primitive solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e. $xy(x+y)(x-y) \neq 0$ and $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, to (*) are given on Table 2 and Table 3. In particular, for $0 \leq m \leq 1000$ with $m \notin \{1, 2, 4, 22, 103, 956\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $L_m = L_n$ implies $m = n$ or $m = -n$.

References and Notes

- [Ade01] C. Adelmann, *The decomposition of primes in torsion point fields*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1761, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [AHK98] H. Ahmad, M. Hajja, M. Kang, *Negligibility of projective linear automorphisms*, J. Algebra **199**, 344–366, 1998.
- [BCP97] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24**, 235–265, 1997.
- [Cha96] R. J. Chapman, *Automorphism polynomials in cyclic cubic extensions*, J. Number Theory **61**, 283–291, 1996.
- [CV97] J. Chen, P. Voutier, *Complete solution of the Diophantine equation $X^2 + 1 = dY^4$ and a related family of quartic Thue equations*, J. Number Theory **62**, 71–99, 1997.
- [Coh93] H. Cohen, *A course in computational algebraic number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 138, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [Coh00] H. Cohen, *Advanced topics in computational number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 193, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [Duq07] S. Duquesne, *Elliptic curves associated with simplest quartic fields*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **19**, 81–100, 2007.
- [Gaa02] I. Gaál, *Diophantine equations and power integral bases. New computational methods*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [GAP] The GAP Group, GAP — Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.10; 2007 (<http://www.gap-system.org>).
- [Gir83] K. Girstmair, *On the computation of resolvents and Galois groups*, Manuscripta Math. **43**, 289–307, 1983.
- [Gra77] M. N. Gras, *Table numérique du nombre de classes et des unités des extensions cycliques réelles de degré 4 de $\mathbf{Q}$* , Publ. Math. Fac. Sci. Besançon, fasc 2, 1977/1978.
- [HH05] K. Hashimoto, A. Hoshi, *Families of cyclic polynomials obtained from geometric generalization of Gaussian period relations*, Math. Comp. **74**, 1519–1530, 2005.
- [HM99] K. Hashimoto, K. Miyake, *Inverse Galois problem for dihedral groups*, Number theory and its applications (Kyoto, 1997), 165–181, Dev. Math., 2, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.
- [H] A. Hoshi, *On correspondence between solutions of a parametric family of cubic Thue equations and isomorphic simplest cubic fields*, preprint, arXiv:0810.3374.

- [HM07] A. Hoshi, K. Miyake, *Tschirnhausen transformation of a cubic generic polynomial and a 2-dimensional involutive Cremona transformation*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A **83**, 21–26, 2007.
- [HM09a] A. Hoshi, K. Miyake, *A geometric framework for the subfield problem of generic polynomials via Tschirnhausen transformation*, Number theory and applications, 65–104, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2009.
- [HM09b] A. Hoshi, K. Miyake, *On the field intersection problem of quartic generic polynomials via formal Tschirnhausen transformation*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli **58**, 51–86, 2009.
- [HM09c] A. Hoshi, K. Miyake, *On the field intersection problem of generic polynomials: a survey*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B12**, 231–247, 2009.
- [HM] A. Hoshi, K. Miyake, *On the field intersection problem of solvable quintic generic polynomials*, to appear in International Journal of Number Theory. Preprint version: arXiv:0804.4875.
- [Kid05] M. Kida, *Kummer theory for norm algebraic tori*, J. Algebra **293**, 427–447, 2005.
- [Kim04] H. K. Kim *Evaluation of zeta functions at $s = -1$ of the simplest quartic fields*, Proceedings of the 2003 Nagoya Conference “Yokoi-Chowla Conjecture and Related Problems”, 63–73, Saga Univ., Saga, 2004.
- [Kom04] T. Komatsu, *Arithmetic of Rikuna’s generic cyclic polynomial and generalization of Kummer theory*, Manuscripta Math. **114**, 265–279, 2004.
- [Lan78] S. Lang, *Elliptic curves: Diophantine analysis*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 231, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978.
- [Lan83] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [Laz91] A. J. Lazarus, *On the class number and unit index of simplest quartic fields*, Nagoya Math. J. **121**, 1–13, 1991.
- [LP95] G. Lettl, A. Pethö, *Complete solution of a family of quartic Thue equations*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **65**, 365–383, 1995.
- [LPV99] G. Lettl, A. Pethö, P. Voutier, *Simple families of Thue inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351**, 1871–1894, 1999.
- [Lou07] S. R. Louboutin, *Efficient computation of root numbers and class numbers of parametrized families of real abelian number fields*, Math. Comp. **76**, 455–473, 2007.
- [Miy99] K. Miyake, *Linear fractional transformations and cyclic polynomials*, Algebraic number theory (Hapcheon/Saga, 1996), Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Pusan) **1**, 137–142, 1999.
- [Mor94] P. Morton, *Characterizing cyclic cubic extensions by automorphism polynomials*, J. Number Theory **49**, 183–208, 1994.

- [Oga03] H. Ogawa, *Quadratic reduction of multiplicative group and its applications*, (Japanese) Algebraic number theory and related topics (Kyoto, 2002), Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku No. 1324, 217–224, 2003.
- [PV00] D. Poulakis, E. Voskos, *On the practical solution of genus zero Diophantine equations*, J. Symbolic Comput. **30**, 573–582, 2000.
- [RV99] N. Rennert and A. Valibouze, *Calcul de résolvantes avec les modules de Cauchy*, Experiment. Math. **8**, 351–366, 1999.
- [Ren04] N. Rennert, *A parallel multi-modular algorithm for computing Lagrange resolvents*, J. Symbolic Comput. **37**, 547–556, 2004.
- [Rik02] Y. Rikuna, *On simple families of cyclic polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130**, 2215–2218, 2002.
- [SWP08] J. R. Sendra, F. Winkler, S. Pérez-Díaz, *Rational algebraic curves. A computer algebra approach*, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, 22. Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [Wak07] I. Wakabayashi, *Simple families of Thue inequalities*, Ann. Sci. Math. Québec **31**, 211–232, 2007.